

United States Patent and Trademark Office

'W,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,640	02/13/2002	Michael Nuttall	500803.02	9841
7590 12/15/2003			EXAMINER	
Paul F. Rusyn, Esq.			VU, DAVID	
DORSEY & WI	HIINEY LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1420 Fifth Avenue			2818	
Scattle, WA 9	8101			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1	W.	_			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/075,640	NUTTALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAIL INO DATE of this accounting the more	DAVID VU	2818	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sn twith the correspondenc addr ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was particularly for the property of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timely filed mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 S</u>	September 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fir	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38 and 45-61</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	ment.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		NOT IN A MARKET FOR THE STATE OF THE STATE O				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	-, ,	. ,				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	, , ,	, , , ,				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language profile 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 						
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 09	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,640

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 38 and 52 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chuang et al. (US 6,001,709).

Regarding claims 38 and 52, Chuang et al., in related text (Col. 3, Lines 19-64) and figures (Figs. 2A-2C) disclose an in-process semiconductor structure, comprising: a substrate 20; a plurality of active regions; a plurality of isolation regions 25 adjacent the active regions, each isolation region 25 being positioned between adjacent active regions to isolate adjacent active regions and no layers being formed on the isolation regions; and at least one selectively formed contact region on each active region, each selectively formed contact region being isolated from contacts on adjacent active regions (Fig. 2C).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,640

Art Unit: 2818

2. Claims 38 and 45-59 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 102(e) as being anticipated by White, Jr. et al. (US 6,130,102).

Page 3

Regarding claims 38 and 45-59, White, Jr. et al., in related text (Col. 3, Lines 24-67) and figure (Fig. 2) disclose an in-process semiconductor structure, comprising: a substrate 12 (Col. 3, Lines 26-31); a plurality of active regions (Col. 3, Lines 44-45); a plurality of isolation regions adjacent the active regions (Col. 3, Lines 34-43), each isolation region being positioned between adjacent active regions to isolate adjacent active regions and no layers being formed on the isolation regions; and at least one selectively formed contact region on each active region, each selectively formed contact region being isolated from contacts on adjacent active regions.

Since White, Jr. et al. was filed on November 3, 1997, it could be prior art under 35 U. S. C. 102(e).

3. Regarding claims 38; 52 and 60-61, the limitation "having a first surface exposed to electromagnetic radiation during formation to a greater extent than a second surface of the contact", is taken to be a product by process limitation and consider non-limitation. In a product-by-process claim, it is the patentability of the claimed product and not of the recited process steps which must be established. Therefore, when the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be identical with or only slightly different than the product claimed in a product-by process claim, a rejection based on sections 102 or 103 is fair. The Patent Office is not equipped to manufacture products by a myriad of processes put before it and then obtain prior art product and make physical comparisons therewith. In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972). Also, a product by process claim directed to

Application/Control Number: 10/075,640 Page 4

Art Unit: 2818

the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ I S at 17 (footnote 3). See In re Fessman, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1974); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983); and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985), all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final structure of the product "gleaned" from the process steps, which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process. See also MPEP 2113.

Moreover, an old and obvious product produced by a new method is not a patentable product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Vu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0391.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,640 Page 5

Art Unit: 2818

The new phone number after January 08, 2004 will be (571) 272-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms., can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The new phone number after January 08, 2004 will be (571) 272-1787.

DV

David Vu.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800